Registered with the Registrar of Newspapers for India under No. 10410





# புதுச்சேரி மாநீல அரசிதழ்

# La Gazette de L'État de Poudouchéry The Gazette of Puducherry

அதிகாரம் பெற்ற வெளியீடு

Publiée par Autorité

Published by Authority

எண்	புதுச்சேரி	செவ்வாய்க்கிழமை	2023 <b>H</b>	ஏப்ரல் <i>மீ</i>	25 a
No. >17	Poudouchéry	Mardi	25	Avril	2023 (5 Vaisakha 1945)
No. J	Puducherry	Tuesday	25th	April	2023

பொருளடக்கம்	SOMMAIRES	CONTENTS
பக்	கம் Page	Page
தொழில் நீதீமன்றத் தீா்ப்புகள் 34	6 Sentence arbitral du Travail 346 de Tribunal.	Award of the Labour Court 346
அரசு அறிவிக்கைகள் 35	2 Notifications du Gouvernement 352	Government Notifications 352
சாற்றறிக்கைகள் 3:	5 Annonces 355	Announcements 355

- Ex.P8 22-12-2016 Photocopy of the reply of the petitioner on the Respondent's reply before the Labour Officer (Conciliation), Government of Puducherry.
- Ex.P9 13-09-2017 Photocopy of the Conciliation Failure Report.

List of Respondent's witness:

RW.1 —07-07-2022 Thiru S. Sasikumar, General Manager-Manufacturing Respondent Management.

List of Respondent's exhibits:

- EX.R1 29-06-2015 Photocopy of the Probation Order issued to the Petitioner by the Respondent Management.
- Ex.R2— 06-01-2016 Photocopy of the show cause notice issued to the Petitioner by the Respondent Management.
- Ex.R3— 12-03-2016 Photocopy to the memorandum issued to the Petitioner by the Respondent Management.
- Ex.R4— 15-03-2016 Photocopy of the Termination Order issued to the Petitioner by the Respondent Management.
- Ex.R5— 24-05-2016 Photocopy of the letter sent by the Respondent to the Petitioner intimating the credit of legal dues into the Petitioner's Bank Account.
- Ex.R6— 09-04-2016 Photocopy of the file by the Respondent Management to the Labour Officer (Conciliation), Government of Puducherry.

V. SOFANA DEVI, Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal-*cum*-Labour Court, Puducherry.

# GOVERNMENT OF PUDUCHERRY LABOUR DEPARTMENT

(G.O. Rt. No. 46/Lab./AIL/T/2023, Puducherry, dated 28th March 2023)

### NOTIFICATION

Whereas, the Government is of the opinion that an industrial dispute has arisen between the management of M/s. Vinayaka Mission's Medical College, Karaikal

and the Union workman Thiru R. Johnson Raj Ramesh, represented by the Union for all Staff in Vinayaka Mission's Medical College and Hospital, Karaikal, over pay anomaly, in respect of the matter mentioned in the Annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of the Government, it is necessary to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, by virtue of the authority delegated vide G.O. Ms. No. 20/9/Lab./L, dated 23-5-1991 of the Labour Department, Puducherry, to exercise the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), it is hereby directed by the Secretary to Government (Labour) that the said dispute be referred to the Industrial Tribunal, Puducherry, for adjudication. The Industrial Tribunal, Puducherry, shall submit the Award within 3 months from the date of issue of reference as stipulated under sub-section (2-A) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 and in accordance with rule 10-B of the Industrial Disputes (Central) Rules, 1957. The party raising the dispute shall file a statement of claim complete with relevant documents, list of reliance and witnesses to the Industrial Tribunal, Puducherry, within 15 days of the receipt of the order of reference and also forward a copy of such statement to each one of the opposite parties involved in the dispute.

#### ANNEXURE

(i) Whether the industrial dispute raised by the Union workman represented by Union for all Staff in Vinayaka Mission's Medical College and Hospital, Karaikal, against the Management of M/s. Vinayaka Mission's Medical College, Kottucherry (PO), Kavaikal, over pay anomaly of Thiru R. Johnson Raj Ramesh and to refix his salary on par with his juniors Thiru M.K. Dharmaraj and S. Mohan with arrears is justified or not? If justified, what relief Thiru Johnson Raj Ramesh is entitled to?

(ii) If justified, what relief Thiru R. Johnson Raj Ramesh is entitled to?

(iii) To compute the relief in terms of money if, it can be so computed.

(By order)

# P. RAGINI,

Under Secretary to Government (Labour).

# GOVERNMENT OF PUDUCHERRY LABOUR DEPARTMENT

(G.O. Rt. No. 48/Lab./AIL/T/2023, Puducherry, dated 30th March 2023)

#### NOTIFICATION

Whereas, the Government is of the opinion that an industrial dispute has arisen between the management of M/s. Bahadour Security Force, Puducherry and Thiruvalargal M. Sengeni and K. Durai, over payment of pending wage increase, bonus and other benefits, in respect of the matter mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of the Government, it is necessary to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, by virtue of the authority delegated vide G.O. Ms. No. 20/9/Lab./L, dated 23-5-1991 of the Labour Department, Puducherry, to exercise the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), it is hereby directed by the Secretary to Government (Labour) that the said dispute be referred to the Industrial Tribunal, Puducherry, for adjudication. The Industrial Tribunal, Puducherry, shall submit the Award within 3 months from the date of issue of reference as stipulated under sub-section (2-A) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 and in accordance with rule 10-B of the Industrial Disputes (Central) Rules, 1957. The party raising the dispute shall file a statement of claim complete with relevant documents, list of reliance and witnesses to the Industrial Tribunal, Puducherry, within 15 days of the receipt of the order of reference and also forward a copy of such statement to each one of the opposite parties involved in the dispute.

#### ANNEXURE

(i) Whether the dispute raised by the All India United Trade Union Centre against the management of M/s. Bahadour Security Force, Puducherry, over payment of pending wage increase, bonus and other benefits to Thiruvalargal M. Sengeni and K. Durai from the year 01-01-2006 to 21-03-2018 (12 years of service) and 01-01-2006 to 02-03-2019 (13 years of service) amounting to  $\overline{\mathbf{x}}$  1,20,000 and  $\overline{\mathbf{x}}$  1,30,000 respectively, totaling  $\overline{\mathbf{x}}$  2,50,000 along with 12% interest is legal and justified? If justified, give appropriate direction?

(ii) To compute the relief if any, awarded in terms of money if, it can be so computed.

#### (By order)

#### **P. R**AGINI, Under Secretary to Government (Labour).

## GOVERNMENT OF PUDUCHERRY LABOUR DEPARTMENT

(G.O. Rt. No. 49/Lab./AIL/T/2023, Puducherry, dated 30th March 2023)

#### NOTIFICATION

Whereas, the Government is of the opinion that an industrial dispute has arisen between the management of M/s. Suolificio Linea Italia (India) Private Limited and Chremcrown Exports and Suolificio Linea Italia (India) Private Limited Thozhilalargal Sangam, over Charter of demands with regard to wage revision and other allied welfare measures for the period from 2019-2022 in respect of the matter mentioned in the Annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of the Government, it is necessary to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, by virtue of the authority delegated vide G.O. Ms. No. 20/9/Lab./L, dated 23-5-1991 of the Labour Department, Puducherry, to exercise the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), it is hereby directed by the Secretary to Government (Labour) that the said dispute be referred to the Industrial Tribunal, Puducherry, for adjudication. The Industrial Tribunal, Puducherry, shall submit the Award within 3 months from the date of issue of reference as stipulated under sub-section (2-A) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 and in accordance with rule 10-B of the Industrial Disputes (Central) Rules, 1957. The party raising the dispute shall file a statement of claim complete with relevant documents, list of reliance and witnesses to the Industrial Tribunal, Puducherry, within 15 days of the receipt of the order of reference and also forward a copy of such statement to each one of the opposite parties involved in the dispute.

#### ANNEXURE

(i) Whether the dispute raised by the Petitioners' Union Chremcrown Exports and Suolificio Linea Italia (India) Private Limited, the management of M/s. Suolificio Linea Italia (India) Private Limited, Puducherry, over charter of demands with regard to wage revision and other allied welfare measures for a period of 3 years (2019-2022) to the Union members Thiruvalargal A. Subramanian and 119 others (as mentioned in the Annexure) is justified or not? If justified, to give appropriate direction?

(ii) To compute the relief if any, awarded in terms of money if, it can be so computed.

(By order)

**P. R**AGINI, Under Secretary to Government (Labour).

ANNEXURE		
Sl. No.	Names	
(1)	(2)	
1	A. Subramanian	
2	E. Selvam	
3	S. Ramesh	
4	R. Murugan	
5	K. Elumalai	
6	K. Kaliyamoorthy	
7	S. Makesh	
8	P. Sekar	
9	T. Seenuvasan	
10	A. Arumaiselvam	
11	K. Satchudhanandam	
12	T. Stalin	
13	V. Jayamoorthy	
14	R. Sakkaramoorthy	
15	S.K. Manikandan	
16	D. Pachamuthu	
17	S. Radhakrishnan	
18	E. Arumugam	
19	P. Jayamoorthy	
20	J. Ramkumar	
21	D. Balasundaram	
22	A. Rajendran	
23	S. Siva	
24	K. Murugan	
25	K. Muthu	
26	N. Murali	
27	S. Santhaseelan	
28	D. Dhanasekar	
29	E. Manikandan	
30	V. Sakthivel	
31	S. Ilango	
32	S. Prabhu	
33	B.S. Ramesh	
34	A. Mohan	
35	K. Pugazhendi	
36	R. Iyyappandas	
37	M.V. Vatchiravel	

(1)	(2)
38	M. Raju
39	V. Selvamani
40	C. Vinoth
41	C. Murugan
42	S. Gandhinesan
43	S. Sathiyamoorthy
44	S. Ramanadhan
45	M. Saranraj
46	S. Surendar
47	B. Udhayakumar
48	K. Pachaiyappan
49	J. Jayakumar
50	S. Subbu
51	R. Manickaraj
52	D. Vignesh
53	T. Deva
54	G. Balamurugan
55	D. Tamilarasan
56	R. Jaganraj
57	A. Arumugam
58	G. Pazhaniraj
59	S. Natarajan
60	K. Honestraj
61	V. Thamizhmani
62	S. Kavitha
63	K. Geetha
64	V. Sathiya
65	M. Mahadevi
66	M. Geetha
67	D. Ramila
68	S. Geetha
69	G. Sridaran
70	B. Arulmurugan
71	T.K. Manikandan
72	A. Parasuraman
73	P.M. Pushpavel
74	S. Kamalakannan
75	M. Mathanraj
76	M. Poovarasan
77	C. Sasikumar

(1)	(2)
78	P. Veerachandarn
79	S. Boobalan
80	P. Muthu
81	M. Mathiyazhagan
82	E. Murugan
83	E. Saranraj
84	M. Purushothaman
85	A. Thamizharasan
86	K. Arulkumar
87	R. Murugan
88	M. Arun
89	K. Kalaiyarasan
90	V. Sathiyamoorthy
91	E. Ravikumar
92	S. Raghunath
93	T. Magesh
94	K. Poiyadhu
95	G. Dhanusu
96	S. Aravindh
97	A. Alexandar
98	K. Silambarasan
99	M. Ramarajan
100	A. Ayyanar
101	V. Patrick
102	A. Paramasivam
103	V. Vijayan
104	R. Saranraj
105	V. Vijayakumar
106	K. Selvakumar
107	T. Suresh
108	N. Ponnusamy
109	V. Vetrivendan
110	M. Selvakumar
111	S. Sampathraj
112	S. Murugan
113	V. Sureshkumar
114	T. Sudharsan
115	P. Balakrishnan
116	T. Govindhan
117	M. Ajithkumar
118	V. Kasinathan
119	M. Boobalan
120	M. Sekar

#### AFFIDAVIT

I, Dhatchanamoorthi, son of Egarajan (*late*), aged about 28 years, residing at No. 20, Third Main Road, Gokulam Nagar, Madagadipet Post, Villianur Commune, Puducherry-605 107, do hereby solemnly and sincerely affirm and state on oath as follows:

That I am the deponent herein and well known the facts of the affidavit.

I hereby state that according to my Birth Certificate, bearing No. M/1995/01652, issued by Pondicherry Municipality, Puducherry, my name is mentioned as 'Dhatchanamoorthi'.

Further, I state that in my SSLC Mark List, bearing Register No. 602862, issued by State Board of School Examinations (Sec), Tamil Nadu, in my HSC Mark List, bearing Register No. 609207 issued by State Board of School Examinations (Hr. Sec.), Tamil Nadu, in my Provisional Certificate, bearing Serial No. 0501668, Consolidated Mark Sheet bearing Register No. 13213365, issued by Board of Examinations, Tamil Nadu and in my Transfer Certificate, bearing Register No. 13213365, issued by Motilal Nehru Government Polytechnic College, Puducherry, my name is mentioned as 'Dhatchanamoorthi E'.

Further, I state that in my Elector's Photo Identity Card No. ZFD0064899, my name is mentioned as 'Dhatchanamoorthi'.

Further, I state that in my Aadhaar Card, bearing No. xxxx xxxx 1894 issued by the Unique Identification Authority of India, my name is mentioned as 'Dhatchanamoorthi'.

Further, I state that in my PAN Card No. CQXPD4016Q, issued by Income-tax Department, Government of India, my name is mentioned as 'Dhatchanamoorthi'.

Further, I state that in my Driving Licence, bearing No. PY01 20130012857 issued by Licensing Authority, Transport Department, Puducherry, my name is mentioned as 'Dhatchanamoorthi. E'.

Whereas, in my Family Ration Card vide Serial No. 348906, issued by the Department of Civil Supplies and Consumer Affairs, Puducherry, my name is mentioned as 'தட்சிணாமூர்த்தி'.

Further, I state that in my Partition Deed, registered in the District Registrar Office, Puducherry *vide* Document No. 4998/2011, my name is mentioned as '51-floom-prijsg'.